

Measuring Energy and

Power with PAPI

by

Vince Weaver



11 May 2012


Power and Energy - Why do We Care?

- * New, massive, HPC machines use impressive amounts of power
- * When you have 100k+ cores, saving a few Joules per core quickly adds up
- * To improve power/energy draw, you need some way of measuring it

Energy/Power Measurement is Already Possible

Three common ways of doing this:

- * Hand-instrumenting a system by tapping all power inputs to CPU, memory, disk, etc., and using a data logger
- * Using a pass-through power meter that you plug your server into. Often these will log over USB
- * Estimating power/energy with a software model based on system behavior



Existing Related Work
Plasma/dposv results with
Virginia Tech's PowerPack

Shortcomings of Current Methods

- * Each measurement platform has a different interface
- * Typically data can only be recorded off-line, to a separate logging machine, and analysis is done after the fact
- * Correlating energy/power with other performance metrics can be difficult

Can we make this easier?

Use PAPI!

- * PAPI (Performance API) is a platform-independent library for gathering performance-related data
- * PAPI-C interface makes adding new power measuring components straightforward
- * PAPI can provide power/energy results in-line to running programs

More PAPI benefits

- * One interface for all power measurement devices
- * Existing PAPI code and instrumentation can easily be extended to measure power
- * Existing high-level tools (Tau, VAMPIR, etc.) can be used with no changes
- * Easy to measure other performance metrics at same time

Current PAPI Components


- * Various components are nearing completion
- * Code for many of them already available in `papi.git`



Watt's Up Pro Meter

Watt's Up Pro Features

- * Can measure 18 different values with 1 second resolution (Watts, Volts, Amps, etc.)
- * Values read over USB
- * Joules can be derived from power and time
- * Can only measure system-wide



Average Power (W) versus Time (s)

PLASMA Cholesky on Intel Core2

RAPL


- * Running Average Power Limit
- * Part of an infrastructure to allow setting custom per-package hardware enforced power limits
- * User Accessible Energy/Power readings are a bonus feature of the interface

How RAPL Works

- * RAPL is not an analog power meter
- * RAPL uses a software power model, running on a helper controller on the main chip package
- * Energy is estimated using various hardware performance counters, temperature, leakage models and I/O
- * The model is used for CPU throttling and turbo-boost, but the values are also exposed to users via a model-specific register (MSR)

Available RAPL Readings

- * PACKAGE_ENERGY: total energy used by entire package
- * PP0_ENERGY: energy used by 'Power Plane 0' which includes all cores and caches
- * PP1_ENERGY: on original Sandybridge this includes the on-chip Intel GPU
- * DRAM_ENERGY: on Sandybridge EP this measures DRAM energy usage. It is unclear whether this is just the interface or if it includes all power used by all the DIMMs too



Rotem et al. (IEEE Micro Mar/Apr 2012)
Validate Against Actual Power Readings


RAPL Measurement Accuracy

- * Intel Documentation indicates Energy readings are updated roughly every millisecond (1kHz)
- * The hardware also reports measurement quanta. This can vary among processor releases. On our Sandybridge EP all Energy measurements are in multiples of 15.2nJ
- * Power and Energy can vary between identical packages on a system, even when running identical workloads.


It is unclear whether this is due to process variation or else calibration

RAPL PAPI Interface

- * Access to RAPL data requires reading a CPU MSR register.
This requires OS support
- * Linux currently has no driver and likely won't for the near future
- * Linux does support an 'MSR' driver.
Given proper read permissions, MSRs can be accessed via `/dev/cpu/%/msr`
- * PAPI uses the 'MSR' driver to gather RAPL values




Average Power (W) vs Time(s)
Cholesky N=30000 Threads=16
SandyBridge EP



Energy(J) vs Time(s)
Cholesky N=30000 Threads=16
Sandybridge EP

NUML

- * Recent NVIDIA GPUs support reading power via the NVIDIA Management Library (NVML)
- * On Fermi C2075 GPUs it has milliwatt resolution within $\pm 5W$ and is updated at roughly 60Hz
- * The power reported is that for the entire board, GPU and memory



Near-future PAPI Components

These components do not exist yet,
but support for them should be
straightforward.

AMD Application Power Management

- * Recent AMD Family 15h processors report 'Current Power In Watts' via Processor Power in the TDP MSR
- * Support for this can be provided similar to RAPL
- * We just need an Interlagos system where someone gives us read permissions to /dev/cpu/*/msr

PowerMon 2

- * PowerMon 2: a custom board from RENCI
- * Plugs in-line with ATX power supply
- * Reports results over USB
- * 8 channels, 1kHz sample rate
- * We have hardware; still debugging

PAPI-based Power Models

- * There's a lot of related work on estimating energy/power using performance counters
- * PAPI user-defined events can be used to create power models using existing events
- * Previous work (McKee et al.) shows accuracy to within 10%%

Measuring using PAPI

* Measuring Energy/Power with PAPI is done the same as measuring any other event

Listing Events

```
> papi_native_avail
```

```
...
```

```
=====
Events in Component: linux-rapl
=====
```

```
-----
| PACKAGE_ENERGY:PACKAGE0
```

```
|   Energy used by chip package 0
```


```
-----
| PACKAGE_ENERGY:PACKAGE1
```

```
|   Energy used by chip package 1
```

```
-----
| DRAM_ENERGY:PACKAGE0
```

```
|   Energy used by DRAM on package 0
```

```
-----
...
```



Questions before Digressing?

Apple IIe

- * Apple II released in 1977
- * Apple IIe Platinum released in 1987
- * 1MHz 65C02 Processor, 128kB RAM
- * 280x192, 6-color graphics
(IIe can do DoubleHiRes)
- * Power: 18 - 20W

Linpack Results

10x10 Matrix-matrix multiply

START

STOP

HOW MANY SECONDS? 15

133.333333 FLOP/s

Yes I know using BASIC is unfair
But I am too lazy to code up a
6502 FP implementation in assembler

QUESTIONS?